I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART ELEVEN OF SIXTEEN

Laura Hawk Stobie- The Infamous "Cash for Kids" Child Trafficker from Saint Louis, Missouri.

Page

I. TABLE OF CONTENTS……... 1

II. THE FEDERAL "CASH FOR KIDS" JUDGES CONTINUED……..... 3

1. Judge Henry Edward Autrey- *Thompson v. Stobie & Marr v. Stobie*, E.D.MO…….. 2
2. Judge John A. Ross- *Brown v. Stobie*, E.D.MO…….. 8
3. Judge Rodney W. Sippel- *Dickerson v. Stobie*, E.D.MO……... 11
4. Judge Stephen R. Clark, *Shire v. Stobie*, E.D.MO……. 14
5. Dean et al v. Stobie EDMO No. 4:24-cv-00074……... 16

II. THE FEDERAL "CASH FOR KIDS" JUDGES CONTINUED

THE FOLLOWING ARE RELATED CASES INITIATED AGAINST DEFENDANT LAURA HAWK STOBIE FOR CHILD TRAFFICKING, ALL UNLAWFULLY DISMISSED. THESE FAMILIES ARE STILL IN NEED OF URGENT INTERVENTION.

1. **Judge Henry Edward Autrey**



Case No. 4:24-cv-00863, Eastern District of Missouri, *Thompson v. Stobie*: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/54007908/Thompson_v_Stobi & Case No. 4:24-cv-00815, Eastern District of Missouri, *Marr v. Stobie*: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/53890658/Marr_v_Stobie

a. **Whitney Thompson (A Reputable Early Childhood Education Teacher, Dubbed by those who Love her as *"Miss Honey Bee"*):** Whitney Thompson's case, currently pending in Missouri, illustrates an urgent and escalating crisis involving state-enabled parental alienation, judicial retaliation, and obstruction of custody rights under color of law at the hands of "Cash for Kids" Defendants Judge Heggie, Attorney Laura Hawk Stobie, and Dr. Susan Sanderson (Family Life Counseling).

1. On June 20, 2024, Judge Robert M. Heggie granted a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) to Defendant Charles Thompson (Late Chesterfield Police Officer), Whitney's former partner and abuser, despite the absence of any legal findings of unfitness against her. This TRO was entered without notice to her and has effectively resulted in the

kidnapping of her minor children, who she only sees once a week for one hour, supervised, since being abducted by Charles just prior to the order.

2. Whitney immediately filed a Motion to Strike the TRO and a Motion for Preliminary Injunction on June 23, 2024, asserting violations of her God-ordained and natural rights as a mother, and demanding the return of custody to her. These filings outlined a pattern of egregious abuse and predicate acts committed under a broader racketeering scheme involving family court judges, attorneys, and guardians ad litem, in direct violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962 (RICO). In the motion, Whitney describes: Documented mental instability, alcoholism, suicidal ideations, and documented suicide attempts by firearm by Charles Thompson; Violent outbursts, corporal punishment, and alienation tactics used by Charles to isolate the children from their mother, school, and counseling support; Alarming claims of pedophilic behavior, illegal possession of multiple state IDs, and criminal use of unknown chemical substances; Threats of murder (to “unalive” the mother and others), relayed to the children directly; Ongoing police involvement, including multiple calls to the Ballwin Police Department that were disregarded by the officers; Active retaliation and alienation carried out with support of conflicted counsel and judicial actors; And the necessity of a new GAL—Attorney Timothy W. Roldan was proposed as a neutral party for investigation and oversight.

IDENTIFICATION CARDS,which were obtained illegally from the individuals, in addition to an unknown chemical substance given to *Mother's* minor offspring.

(I) See Exhibit H:

(II) See Exhibit I:

Whitney also moved to withdraw her court-appointed counsel, Laura Stobie, citing her participation in a criminal RICO scheme, referencing multiple related federal cases pending in the Eastern District of Missouri, including *Thompson v. Stobie* (4:24-cv-0086) and those filed by Michelle Dickerson, Alexandra Dean, Ariel Alton, Brooke Marr, Ryan Nicholas Brown, and Justin Shire.

3. Whitney's case is cited within broader RICO pleadings as a critical example of the "Family Annihilation Enterprise" and "Medical-Judicial Trafficking Enterprise", exposing the weaponization of restraining orders to forcibly sever mother-child bonds; The concealment of child whereabouts through emergency orders without evidentiary hearings; The entrenchment of corrupt legal actors—many of whom are already named RICO defendants. She continues to seek emergency injunctive relief and federal intervention to prevent further harm to her children and to terminate the ongoing retaliatory legal proceedings against her.

(A Success Story Arising from Dean et al v. Stobie, EDMO No. 4:24-cv-00074)

b. Brooke J. Marr (Comes from a Well Respected Family of WWII Holocaust Survivors): Petitioner Brooke: J- Marr suffered extensive constitutional violations and systemic targeting through the St. Louis County Family Court, by Judge Higgins and G.A.L. Laura Stobie, before successfully negotiating a private custody agreement—free from further state intrusion. Despite no findings of unfitness, Brooke was alienated from her children based on an ex parte Temporary Restraining Order filed on October 25, 2023, which resulted in limited weekend timesharing. This order was issued without a fitness hearing, without due process, and in clear violation of her 14th Amendment rights, the Equal Protection Clause, and long-standing Supreme Court precedent (*Troxel v. Granville*, *Stanley v. Illinois*, *Santosky v. Kramer*).

1. Brooke filed numerous motions to combat these unconstitutional acts, including: Motion to Modify Interim Custody (11/15/2023); Motion to Strike Respondent's TRO;

Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction; Motion for Formally Noticed Fitness Proceeding; And a Motion to Disqualify GAL Laura Hawk Stobie, who is named in Federal Case No. 4:24-cv-00074 (Dean et al. v. Stobie) and implicated in a wider RICO conspiracy involving the misuse of Title IV-D funding, GAL fees, and parental alienation for profit. Due to these efforts Stobie recused herself from the case.

2. Despite this, Brooke was able to reclaim her constitutionally protected parental rights without court enforcement, through a privately mediated custody agreement signed by both parents: Joint legal custody was established, with shared decision-making over education, medical, and religious matters. 50/50 physical custody was implemented, with alternating weekly exchanges between the parents. A clear parenting time schedule, vacation schedule, and holiday division were agreed upon. Both parties agreed to exclude from the children's presence any individuals defined under Missouri law as abusive or dangerous (RSMo § 455.010). The parents explicitly rejected further state involvement, choosing not to involve the Missouri Department of Social Services in determining child support or custodial issues. A clause for mediation-first dispute resolution and non-court modification was included to safeguard their parental rights and autonomy. This resolution is both a triumph of maternal advocacy and a rebuke of the state's unconstitutional practices, confirming that voluntary, private agreement—not state-imposed alienation—best serves the welfare of children. Her success demonstrates how state actors such as Judge Megan Julian Higgins, GAL Laura Stobie, and Attorney Elliott Goldberger weaponized custody enforcement in ways that violated the Constitution and the children's psychological safety. Brooke's case remains part of the pending federal RICO actions against Missouri officials and courts. She continues to

advocate for judicial reform and accountability for families statewide affected by the GAL and Family Court Enterprise.

2. **Judge John A. Ross**

Case: Case No. 4:24-cv-00112, Eastern District of Missouri, *Brown v. Stobie*: https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/52098662/Brown_v_Stobie

(The Unfit-by-Default Father Scheme)

Ryan N. Brown (Renowned by the Friends and Family for his Ability to Manage Multiple Businesses and Remain Steadfast in the Face of Crisis): Brown is a devoted father who became ensnared in the racketeering apparatus operated through the Saint Louis County Family Court in conjunction with the Missouri Department of Social Services and their federal Title IV-D child support enforcement partners. Without any findings of abuse, neglect, or abandonment, and without a formal fitness proceeding as required by law, Mr. Brown was stripped of his custodial rights and branded an "obligor" to a system designed not to serve the best interest of his offspring—but to financially exploit him through fraudulent debt fabrication, unlawful wage garnishment, and due process deprivation.

1. Judge Mary Greaves presided over proceedings where no legal basis existed for terminating Mr. Brown's equal standing as a parent. Yet the court—partnering with Guardian ad Litem Laura Hawk Stobie and case actors Krista Peyton and Frank Ross Gipson—proceeded to enforce child support under false presumptions of unfitness and financial standing. The child support order was entered based on fictitious income

figures—alleging Mr. Brown earned $13,000 a month—and retroactively charged him for time he lived in the home and financially supported the family directly.

2. Mr. Brown has provided repeated evidence of financial support through cash, check, and app-based payments, yet these contributions were willfully ignored in favor of inflated debt obligations. The court then facilitated an illegal withholding order through the Family Support Division, amounting to wage garnishment under a debt he never lawfully owed. This scheme violated his rights under the Fourteenth Amendment and U.S. Supreme Court precedent guaranteeing parents liberty and due process before separation from their children.

To this day, Ryan- N: Brown has not received a single hearing on his fitness, nor was any statutory interview with his offspring ever conducted under § 452.385 RSMo. The omissions constitute mandatory, prejudicial error according to Williams v. Cole, Rolf v. Dennis, and Linford v. State, all of which reinforce the systematic deprivation he endured. These violations form part of a coordinated racketeering conspiracy that has monetized custody stripping and child commodification, all while denying fit parents like Mr. Brown a path to relief. His case was unlawfully dismissed under federal review in Dean et al v. Stobie et al (EDMO Case No. 4:24-cv-00112), where these actors stood accused of serial RICO offenses across multiple victims, including Mr. Brown.

3. **Judge Rodney W. Sippel**

Case: Case No. 4:24-cv-00560, Eastern District of Missouri, *Dickerson v. Stobie*:

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/53152236/Dickerson_v_Stobie



(The Parental Erasure Enterprise by Religious Discrimination)

Michelle Marryann Dickerson (Revered by her Friends and Followers for her Deep-Faith in Jesus and Prayer Circles that Lift the Spirits of the Community):

1. Michelle M. Dickerson is a loving mother whose sacred parental bond with her offspring was severed not through proof of abuse or neglect—but through manufactured psychological labels, biased evaluations, and fraudulent court actions designed to remove fit parents from the equation in favor of profitable foster placements and forced adoptions. Despite having no criminal record of abuse, no findings of neglect, and a long-standing history of caring for her children, Michelle was dragged through the Missouri juvenile dependency machine operated by Judge Jason D. Dodson and a coordinated cast of court actors including Jodie Hodge, Erica Jenkins, Kerry Allen, Bill Grant, and psychologist Dr. Nanney. These actors worked in tandem with the Children's Division and the non-profit "Every Child's Hope" to brand Michelle as delusional and unfit—using a single psychological evaluation to strip her of visitation, custody, and eventually all parental rights.

2. The evaluation, which was rushed, hostile, and heavily prejudiced, labeled Michelle with "Delusional Disorder" for asserting her belief that the state was conspiring to traffic her children—a belief that, given the egregious misconduct she experienced, was far from irrational. Her objections were never investigated. Instead, they were weaponized against her. The State of Missouri then proceeded to terminate her parental rights in an off-docket hearing, held the same day it was ordered, making it physically impossible for her to appear and object.

3. This systematic legal abuse occurred in clear violation of Michelle's due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment. She was never provided fair notice, neutral hearings, or competent representation. The state never proved that she posed any danger to her child. Instead, they used the court system as a trafficking channel, funneling her children

into foster care and group homes across America under the custody of nonprofit entities. These foster care providers have faced RICO claims from multiple parents in Missouri for trafficking of their beloved children in the most gruesome of ways.

4. Despite this, Michelle and her father, Thomas Lenard Dickerson, continue to fight to reclaim their rightful place in her children's lives. They have petitioned for custody continuously, citing the unconstitutional and fraudulent basis for the state's intervention. Their testimony illustrates how the MO-FCCE enterprise uses mental health misdiagnoses, judicial fraud, and denial of basic court access as tools to erase parents from their children's lives—solely for federal kickbacks and private profit.

5. Michelle's ordeal, like those of many others, reveals a coordinated trafficking ring hidden behind the facade of "child protection," rooted in systemic due process violations and civil rights abuses. Her case stands as a smoking gun in this criminal enterprise and forms a vital part of the evidence which deserves a full federal review.

4. **Judge Stephen R. Clark**

Case: Case No. 4:24-cv-00184, Eastern District of Missouri, *Shire v. Stobie*:

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/52211186/Shire_v_Stobie





Justin Shire (Admired by the Community for his Valiant Efforts to Protect Exploited Children and Contributions to Greater Good): Justin Shire is the founder of the "Remove Laura Hawk Stobie as G.A.L." Facebook group—a grassroots movement that exposed Laura Hawk Stobie's long-running pattern of child trafficking, court corruption, and financial exploitation under the guise of a Guardian ad Litem. His relentless advocacy and whistleblowing efforts mobilized a critical mass of impacted families, whose collective voice ultimately led to Stobie's expulsion from her prestigious law firm in Clayton, Missouri.

1. Tragically, Stobie's appointment as GAL in Justin's own case was the catalyst for his ongoing alienation from his son. Despite pouring nearly $80,000 into a legal system that promised justice, Justin was met with nothing but retaliation. Judge Zellweger, acting in tandem with Stobie, subjected him to severe legal abuse, while Stobie herself orchestrated sustained financial exploitation under color of law.

2. Among the families targeted by Stobie, Justin paid the steepest price financially. His experience exemplifies the devastating impact of Missouri's court-sponsored trafficking system and the urgent need for comprehensive accountability.

111
Ariel Alton
Yea I'm okay and I bounced back and have a new vehicle as well.! And I've had my baby back for literally a year and 6 months today .! And everything has been great but I still would like to move forward wit everything. .! Have we had any victories. How r u.!? Have u gotten a chance to talk to ur girls !?

(Another Victory Arising from Dean et al v. Stobie EDMO No. 4:24-cv-00074)

5. **Ariel Alton**

For nearly five years, Ariel Alton endured the agony of forced separation from her daughter, while Laura Hawk Stobie—serving as Guardian ad Litem—facilitated that alienation under the color of law. Ariel's former partner, a man with a documented history of abuse and criminal conduct, weaponized their daughter to inflict prolonged emotional harm on both mother and child. Despite the visible and well-documented damage, the courts stood silent—empowering an abuser and enabling further trauma. 1. In the midst of her custody battle, Ariel joined WE *the* PEOPLE's movement to expose and dismantle the child trafficking and financial abuse embedded within the 21st Judicial Circuit Court of Saint Louis County. Her courage and truth-telling helped fuel the broader

RICO efforts against Stobie. When the federal lawsuit was filed and hit the dockets, it sent a shockwave through the courthouse—one that would finally break the silence.

2. In a rare and honorable act, Attorney Ryan Munroe stood up for justice. Seizing the moment and momentum of the RICO filing, he marched into court that very day and secured an Emergency Order returning Ariel's daughter home. This victory is not just a testament to Ariel's resilience—it is also a singular reflection of professional integrity in a region where too many attorneys remain complicit in a state-sponsored system of child trafficking.

HONORABLE MENTION

Attorney Ryan L. Munro

The only attorney in St. Louis County who confronted the Cash for Kids Enterprise and acted with courage. In the face of institutional corruption and silence, he chose to defend the innocent and reunite a mother with her daughter. His actions stand as a model for what justice should look like.